



Coherence, Care, and Innovation: Toward Sustainable Basic Education

Peregrita J. Datahan¹

Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Sustainable Basic Education

¹San Agustin National High School, Department of Education–Schools Division of Bohol, Sagbayan, Bohol, Philippines

Corresponding author: Peregrita J. Datahan • peregrita.datahan@sanhs.edu.ph

Accepted	30 August 2026	Published	01 September 2026
Volume/Issue	Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026)	DOI	Pending assignment
Section	Editorial	License	CC BY 4.0

The launch of a scholarly journal is more than the publication of its first collection of articles. It is a declaration of purpose. It signals the kinds of questions a journal considers worth asking, the forms of evidence it is prepared to examine, and the community of scholars and practitioners it hopes to bring into conversation.

The *Journal of Sustainable Basic Education (JSBE)* begins from a straightforward but consequential premise: the sustainability of basic education cannot be reduced to environmental programs, technological modernization, or the longevity of particular reforms. An education system becomes sustainable when it can continually support meaningful learning, protect the people who make learning possible, respond intelligently to evidence, and improve without exhausting its teachers, learners, institutions, or resources.

The articles in this inaugural issue approach that challenge from markedly different directions. Two listen to Filipino teachers working across cultural and institutional boundaries in New York City. Another examines how the learning environment relates to the safety and engagement of learners in Bohol. One develops a conceptual framework for educational coherence. The final article explores how a locally developed digital platform can transform lesson-plan monitoring from an administrative routine into a more immediate and developmental process. Taken together, they make an important proposition for this journal's beginning: **educational sustainability is fundamentally relational.**

A research agenda grounded in basic education

Across these five contributions, several recurring ideas emerge.

First, **context matters**. The experiences of Filipino teachers in New York cannot simply be transplanted into Philippine schools. Findings from selected learners in Bohol should not be generalized beyond what the sampling design supports. A prototype tested within one school does not establish system-wide effectiveness. A conceptual framework remains a proposition until evidence tests it. The authors themselves acknowledge these boundaries. Such limitations should not be viewed merely as weaknesses; they identify where the next study should begin.

Second, **people matter**. Educational improvement ultimately passes through the experiences of learners and educators. Systems that neglect either group undermine their own capacity for sustained improvement.

Third, **relationships matter**. Curriculum must connect with instruction and assessment. Evidence must connect with response. Professional expectations must connect with appropriate support. Technology must connect with actual educational problems. Learning environments must connect with safety, agency, and participation. Policies must connect with the capacities and realities of the people expected to enact them.

Finally, **evidence matters**. Sustainable improvement cannot rely indefinitely on promising ideas, familiar practices, attractive technologies, or institutional tradition. Claims must remain proportional to evidence, and innovations should remain open to validation, critique, replication, and revision.

These commitments define the kind of scholarly space the *Journal of Sustainable Basic Education* hopes to cultivate.



Beginning a scholarly conversation

JSBE is envisioned as a venue where teachers, school leaders, researchers, graduate students, policymakers, and education advocates can examine the problems of basic education with both scholarly rigor and practical relevance. This includes conventional empirical research, but it also includes action research, design and development studies, conceptual scholarship, innovation research, policy analysis, and other forms of disciplined inquiry capable of improving how basic education understands and responds to its challenges.

The word *sustainable* in the journal's name carries an intentional breadth. It refers to education that can maintain quality over time; systems that use limited human and material resources responsibly; learning environments that remain inclusive and safe; professional conditions that allow educators to flourish; innovations that reduce rather than multiply unnecessary burdens; and reforms whose components reinforce rather than contradict one another.

The inaugural issue does not attempt to resolve these questions. No single issue could.

Instead, it begins a conversation.

The five articles remind us that educational improvement is rarely a matter of finding one more program to implement. Sometimes the more difficult task is ensuring that the many things we already do point in the same direction, that the people doing the work receive the conditions they need, that learners experience schools as places where they can participate and belong, and that innovation remains accountable to evidence and human judgment.

That may be an appropriate starting proposition for this journal:

Sustainable basic education does not emerge from isolated excellence. It emerges when purpose, people, evidence, environments, and systems work coherently toward learning that matters.

As the *Journal of Sustainable Basic Education* begins its first volume, we invite scholars and practitioners to test that proposition, question it, extend it, and offer better ones.

That is how a journal becomes more than a repository of articles.

That is how it becomes part of the work of educational improvement.